

## Scenario A: Public Acceptance

	Mobility & Connectivity	Environmental Impacts	Estimated Cost	Implementation Characteristics	Community Support	Total Score	Rank
	40%	5%	5%	20%	30%		
<b>Alternative 1</b> Armory Bridge	26.8	1.2	3.5	16.4	9.0	56.9	<b>2</b>
<b>Alternative 2</b> Dravus Street Bridge	15.6	2.1	3.5	11.6	9.0	41.8	<b>3</b>
<b>Alternative 3</b> Lower Magnolia Bridge	15.2	3.0	3.5	4.4	12.0	38.1	<b>4</b>
<b>Alternative 4</b> In-Kind Replacement	30.8	3.5	0.5	6.4	27.0	68.2	<b>1</b>

## Scenario B: Ease of Implementation

	Mobility & Connectivity	Environmental Impacts	Estimated Cost	Implementation Characteristics	Community Support	Total Score	Rank
	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%		
<b>Alternative 1</b> Armory Bridge	3.4	6.0	28.0	20.5	1.5	59.4	<b>1</b>
<b>Alternative 2</b> Dravus Street Bridge	2.0	10.5	28.0	14.5	1.5	56.5	<b>2</b>
<b>Alternative 3</b> Lower Magnolia Bridge	1.9	15.0	28.0	5.5	2.0	52.4	<b>3</b>
<b>Alternative 4</b> In-Kind Replacement	3.9	17.5	4.0	8.0	4.5	37.9	<b>4</b>

## Scenario C: Cost, Performance, & Acceptance

	Mobility & Connectivity	Environmental Impacts	Estimated Cost	Implementation Characteristics	Community Support	Total Score	Rank
	30%	5%	30%	5%	30%		
<b>Alternative 1</b> Armory Bridge	20.1	1.2	21.0	4.1	9.0	55.4	<b>2</b>
<b>Alternative 2</b> Dravus Street Bridge	11.7	2.1	21.0	2.9	9.0	46.7	<b>3</b>
<b>Alternative 3</b> Lower Magnolia Bridge	11.4	3.0	21.0	1.1	12.0	48.5	<b>4</b>
<b>Alternative 4</b> In-Kind Replacement	23.1	3.5	3.0	1.6	27.0	58.2	<b>1</b>

## Scenario D: All Equal

	Mobility & Connectivity	Environmental Impacts	Estimated Cost	Implementation Characteristics	Community Support	Total Score	Rank
	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%		
<b>Alternative 1</b> Armory Bridge	13.4	4.8	14.0	16.4	6.0	54.6	<b>2</b>
<b>Alternative 2</b> Dravus Street Bridge	7.8	8.4	14.0	11.6	6.0	47.8	<b>3</b>
<b>Alternative 3</b> Lower Magnolia Bridge	7.6	12.0	14.0	4.4	8.0	46.0	<b>4</b>
<b>Alternative 4</b> In-Kind Replacement	15.4	14.0	2.0	6.4	18.0	55.8	<b>1</b>