

Building Energy – Next Generation Policy February 18, 2018

Seattle Office of Sustainability & Environment



CAP Emission Reduction Goals

PASSENGER VEHICLE & BUILDING ENERGY EMISSIONS 2030 TARGETS + PROJECTED REDUCTIONS FROM ACTIONS





CAP BUILDING ENERGY TARGETS

2050 ENERGY AND CARBON GOALS





PROGRESS TO DATE Energy & GHG Reductions

Building Energy Use (2008-2050)

Target Reduction: 1.25% / year

Actual 2008-2012: 0.75% / year

GHG Intensity of Fuels (2008-2050)

Target Reduction: 1.5% / year

Actual 2008-2012: 1.75% / year

Overall Building Emissions (2008-2050)

Target Reduction: 2% / year

Actual 2008-2012: 2.50% / year

Source: 2012 Seattle Community GHG Inventory



PROGRESS TO DATE Energy Reductions

Commercial Building Energy Use (2008-2050)

Target Reduction: 1.10% / year

Actual 2008-2012: 0.25% / year

Residential Building Energy Use (2008-2050)

Target Reduction: 1.5% / year

Actual 2008-2012: 1.25% / year

Source: 2012 Seattle Community GHG Inventory



CAP STRATEGY FOR BUILDINGS



CLIMATE CLIMATE ACTION PLAN WORK TO DATE: CAP 2015 ACTIONS Commercial & Multifamily Buildings		
LEADERSHIP (City Facilities)	 Develop Resource Conservation Management Plan Publish City energy benchmarking scores 	
INCENTIVES	 Pilot retro-commissioning incentives Pilot pay for performance incentives Update Living Building pilot 	
REGULATIONS	 Minimum energy requirements for substantial alterations Increase efficiency standards in each code cycle Outcome-based code option 	

PROCESS



• Research Policy Options

- CAP 2015 & 2030 actions, plus additional alternatives from other jurisdictions
- Interviews with key cities
- Stakeholder Engagement
 - Discussions with + organizations & individuals
 - $_{\odot}\,$ Building owners, developers & facility managers
 - Energy efficiency & environmental organizations
 - $_{\odot}\,$ Service providers and professional organizations
 - Open house September 14, 80 attendees



NEXT STEPS – POLICY APPROACH

- 2016 Legislation
 - Energy Benchmarking Transparency
 - Periodic Tune-Ups for Larger Commercial Buildings
 - Accelerated Tune-Ups for City-owned Buildings
- Additional Supporting Actions
 - Periodic Energy & GHG Goals by Building Type
 - Benchmarking Performance Scorecards
 - SCL Incentives
 - Seattle Energy Code
 - Continued Investigation & Policy Development



LEGISLATION TIMELINE

Council Legislative Action

- Energy & Environment Committee Thu. Feb 25, 9:30 am
- Full Council (anticipated)

Mon. Feb 29, 2:00 pm

Director's Rules

- Stakeholder Engagement March Sept. (and public comment)
- RSJ Engagement/Evaluation (tune-ups) Jan. Aug.



BENCHMARKING TRANSPARENCY Why Transparency?

Building owners benchmark and make more informed decisions

Policy makers use data to inform planning The market uses data to compare performance and reward efficiency

Why Transparency?

City	Program Components	Energy Savings Benchmarked Buildings
San Francisco ¹ 2010-2014	Benchmarking & Transparency Audits (2013)	7.9% (over 4 years) 16.9% carbon savings
New York City ² 2010 - 2013	Benchmarking & Transparency Audits (2013) Lighting Upgrades (2025)	5.7% (over 3 years) 9.9% carbon savings
Washington, D.C. ³ 2012 - 2013	Benchmarking & Transparency	3% (over 1 year)
Seattle ⁴ 2011 - 2013	Benchmarking	0.6% (over 2 years)
Philadelphia ⁵ 2012 - 2013	Benchmarking & Transparency	0.0% (over 1 years)

1. San Francisco Department of the Environment and Urban Land Institute. San Francisco Existing Commercial Buildings Performance Report 2010-2014. (p.14-15)

2. US Department of Energy. New York City Benchmarking and Transparency Policy Impact Evaluation Report, May 2015. (p. ii)

3. District Department of the Environment. <u>http://doee.dc.gov/node/970312 (accessed 2/17/16)</u>

4. Seattle Office of Sustainability & Environment. Building Energy Analysis Report 2013. (Executive Summary)

5. City of Philadelphia Energy Benchmarking Report 2014. (p. 10)



BENCHMARKING TRANSPARENCY Key Elements of Legislation

- Benchmarking energy and GHG information available on web
- Transparency would start with 2015 data, reported in 2016
- No change to owner submittal requirements



CITY OF LOS ANGELES



CITY OF LOS ANGELES



CITY OF LOS ANGELES





BUILDING TUNE-UPS Why Tune-Ups?

- Ensure energy and water are not needlessly wasted by optimizing building performance
- Promote active management of building systems
- Tune-ups yield 5-20%
 energy savings and pay
 back in 2-3 years, on
 average





BUILDING TUNE-UPS Operational Improvements

For example

- <u>Schedules</u>: Check and tighten or add schedules for all equipment, lighting, and controls (weekday, weekend, and holiday schedules).
- <u>Outside Air Control</u>: Measure outdoor air supply. Complete outside air calculations per ASHRAE 62 standard. Outside air should generally be set to no more than 150% of ASHRAE.
- <u>Setpoints</u>: Check and adjust VAV box minimum damper positions. They should be reset to 5% in most occupancy types.
- <u>Equipment Controls</u>: Review/enable automatic economizer controls (adjust when necessary and ensure integrated economizer controls are functioning).
- <u>Plumbing Leaks</u>: Check water meter to verify that the meter is not recording water use at a time when all water use is off.



BUILDING TUNE-UPS Key Elements of Legislation

- Non-residential buildings ≥ 50,000 sq. ft.
- Tune-up every 5 years
- Phased in by building size
 - 200,000 sf or larger
 (Municipal Buildings: Oct. 1, 2017)

- Oct. 1, 2018
- 100,000 199,000 sf Oct. 1, 2019 (Municipal Buildings: Oct. 1, 2018)
- 70,000 99,000 sf
- 50,000 69,000 sf
 (Municipal Buildings: Oct. 1, 2020)

- Oct. 1, 2020 Oct. 1, 2021
- Evaluation of performance results 2020 +



BUILDING TUNE-UPS Potential Exemptions

Performing Well

- High certified ENERGY STAR score
- Green building certification, such LEED for Operations and Maintenance v4
 Gold Rating or a LBC Net-Zero Energy Certification (w/in previous 3 years)
- Demonstrated energy savings of at least 15 percent (w/in previous 3 years)

Recent or Ongoing Operational Improvements

- Successful completion of an approved utility retro-commissioning incentive program (w/in previous 3 years)
- Completion of full retro- or re-commissioning (w/in previous 3 years)
- Completion of an ASHRAE Level II audit and implementation of all nocost/low-cost energy efficiency measures (w/in previous 3 years)
- Participation in the Seattle City Light Energy Assistance Analysis program and implemented of all cost-effective measures (w/in previous 3 years)
- Active monitoring and continuous commissioning

Not Relevant or Unable

- New Building (Certificate of Occupancy w/in previous 3 years)
- Buildings scheduled to be demolished within one year
- Demonstrated financial distress

Other, as determined by Director



QUESTIONS & DISCUSSION

Sandra Mallory, Sustainable Building Program Manager (206) 615-0731 <u>sandra.mallory@seattle.gov</u>

Rebecca Baker, Energy Benchmarking Program Manager (206) 615-1171 <u>rebecca.baker@seattle.gov</u>

Christie Baumel, Climate and Energy Advisor (206) 233-7173

christie.baumel@seattle.gov



SEATTLE OFFICE OF
Sustainability & Environment