



One of the Seattle Colleges

#### **MEMBERS**

Ivy Fox

Tonya Henley

**Daniel James** 

Dylan King

Janice Wong

Sarah Zamler

Michael Oaksmith (Alternate)

#### **Ex-Officio Members**

Maureen Sheehan,

Department of Neighborhoods

Michael Dorcy,

Seattle Department of Constructions & Inspections

David Ernevad,

Seattle Central College

# Seattle Central College Standing Advisory Committee (SAC)

Meeting Minutes
Meeting #1
August 7, 2017
Adopted November 1, 2018

Seattle Central College Broadway – Edison Building 1701 Broadway Seattle, WA 98122

## **Members and Alternates Present**

lvy Fox Dylan King Sarah Zamler

Daniel James Janice Wong

Staff and Others Present

Maureen Sheehan DON

David Ernevad Seattle Central College

## I. Opening & Introductions

Ms. Maureen Sheehan opened the meeting. Brief introductions followed.

## II. Housekeeping

Ms. Sheehan opened the discussion for the nomination and election of the Committee's chairperson and vice-chairperson. These positions are a two-year term. Their main responsibilities are to work with Ms. Sheehan and Mr. David Ernevad to create and review agendas, organize and run meetings, and make sure Committee members as well as comments from the public are being heard.

A motion was made to have Ms. Ivy Fox and Ms. Janice Wong as co-chairs for this Committee, and it was seconded. The Committee voted and approved the motion.

#### III. New Member Orientation

Ms. Sheehan explained the Master Plan process, including formation of the Standing Advisory Committee (SAC), roles of City Departments and the Ex-Officio members of the Committee. She noted that her role is to ensure that this Committee and the City Departments that are involved in the Master Plan and development process are coordinating and communicating efficiently. She added that if the Committee needs clarification about on any Land Use Codes or the advisory committee process that she will be able to assist and provide that information.

## IV. Review and Adopt By-laws

Ms. Sheehan walked through the SAC's by-laws, summarizing membership and leadership composition, decision making responsibilities, frequency of meetings, and reporting.

A motion was made to adopt the By-laws as amended, and it was seconded. The Committee voted and approved the motion.

# V. Review Annual Report

Mr. Ernevad lead a review of the annual report.

He noted that Mr. Chuck Davis, administrative director of facilities and operations compiles and submits the report to the Department of Neighborhoods annually to comply with the Major Institutions Master Plan (MIMP) requirements.

Seattle Central College MIMP was adopted in 2002. The campus did not make any amendment since 2008 where a parking provision was allowed to be exempted.

There had been discussions to rewrite the MIMP and the best strategy for the college. Currently, the college is involved in a pre-planning phase but there is no concrete direction on when to proceed. The MIMP will cost the college \$2 million to put all together, and it is a huge undertaking for the college since the college does not get any public funding for this type of request.

Regarding the MIMP progress, the Seattle Maritime Academy project was completed last fall; the Allied Health Program at the PacMed Tower is up and running, and the campus also did significant improvements to its HVAC systems to manage its energy uses, and the International Student Program Institute of English has been relocated from the South Annex area of the campus.

The campus added a universal accessibility at the atrium and an upgrade to the Siegel Center that is in the corner of Harbor and Pike with new windows and the campus also did stabilization work at the Broadway Performance Hall to prevent any risk to pedestrians and the right-of-way. He noted that there is more work that needs to be done including the replacement of mortar and sandstone.

The completion of the all-gender restrooms that is located on the  $3^{rd}$  floor as well as an all new security camera improvement has been installed.

Mr. Jeff Keever of auxiliary services is doing an excellent job in parking and commuting improvements and accessibility to the campus. He received an award for his work in trip reductions. Mr. Ernevad added that the campus is continuously providing technological opportunities and e-learning programs to students.

The campus is in the process of selling the south annex property. Ms. Sheehan commented that if the institutions decided to acquire land that is adjacent to the MIO or expand the boundary of the MIO, this will trigger a new Master Plan for the campus, and this will be a discussion for this Committee.

Mr. Ernevad added that the areas south of the overlay is limited to 65 ft. and the college has no plans for development on this area.

He noted that the college did not perform any of the studies, but installed cameras around the exterior of the building and have coverage to the campus perimeter and part of it is that the engineers looked at the lighting levels at night. Mr. Ernevad noted that the campus is actively trying to keep the lighting around the campus perimeter to deter any drug use, and homeless camping. The campus will continue to monitor this activity to comply with the provision.

A question was asked if the Committee needs to highlight or follow up any campus activities. Ms. Sheehan commented that this meeting is a review of the campus activities within the MIO and any activities that the campus has not begun, the Committee can request SDCI (Seattle Department of Constructions & Inspections) to communicate further with the campus.

He added that there has been excellent progress towards the Transportation Management Plan (TMP) goals. He mentioned that Mr. Keever is working on better ORCA and car sharing programs and actively monitoring the SOV rate goals to bring into compliance. Parking around campus is a challenge and they are eager to reduce SOV trips and encourage commuting and other modes of transportation. Mr. Keever is working hard to get a discounted ORCA card that gets students for the entire quarter if they were to commute to the campus about five times a week, and it will a better and affordable card.

## VI. Public Comments

Ms. Sheehan opened the discussion for public comments. There were no public comments.

#### VII. Committee Deliberation

The Committee opened the discussion for committee deliberation.

A comment was made about the top priorities the college would like to accomplish. Mr. Ernevad noted that one of the top priorities is the demolition of the north plaza. SCC has requested a permit, but because of several projects currently ongoing in the area, the permitting process has been a challenge. The project has also been slowed down due to the cost.

A question was asked if there is a plan to replace the north plaza. Mr. Ernevad commented that a new technology center will be built, but there have been challenges for the college including state funding, cost and the process of developing a new MIMP for the project.

A comment was made about the role of the committee and the focus on the Capitol Hill footprint. Ms. Sheehan noted that the role of this Committee is to focus on the MIO that relates to SCC Capitol Hill campus and its MIMP.

A comment was made about how will the MIO be adjusted with the potential sale of properties. Ms. Sheehan mentioned that will be a discussion for this Committee. She noted that she has not encountered any Major Institution sizing down its MIO, but that will be a continuing conversation between this Committee, the College and SDCI.

A question was asked about the campus' overall objective is that to sell the properties outside of being revenue generation or any other benefit. Mr. Ernevad noted that the college is interested in preserving the neighborhood, providing a service to the community and all are driven to create a positive impact to the community.

A question was asked about where to find any information regarding the MUP application. Ms. Sheehan commented that the best place to get information is through SDCI website.

# VIII. Adjournment

No further business being before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned.