

NEPA Categorical Exclusion Documentation Form

Federal Aid Project Number:	Date:	Intent of Submittal:
BROS-1140(066)		☐ Preliminary ☒ Final ☐ Re-Evaluate
Agency:	Project Title:	, Established Evaluate
Seattle Department of Transportation	Post Avenue Bridge Rep	lacement
County:		
Beginning MP: Marion Street	Township(s):	24
Ending MP: Columbia Street		4
Miles:0.045	(S. S. 12 (S.)	5
	Part 1 - Project Des	Scription
Remove existing bridge superstructure a	nd portions of existing substru	cture and replace with a new permanent roadway.
	12	with a new permanent roadway.
	Part 2 – Categorical E	xclusion
Colort on a CF C		
Gelect one CE from 23 CFR 771.117 (CE G	uidebook - Appendix A) that f	its the entire project <u>C(28)</u>
	NEPA Approval Si	gnatures /
	United the second seco	
The T		8/12/16
ocal Agency Approving Authority, Scot	Kubly, SDOT Director	Date
-		, Date
		6 Sept. 2016
Regional Local Programs Engineer		Date
11/		
100		4/13/16
Local Programs Environmental Engineer		Date
CLS LES PA		9/13/16
Federal Highway Administration		Date
mpleted by (Print Official's Name):		Date
enise M. Healy	Telephone (include area	
	206-684-0409	Denise.Healy@seattle.gov

Part 3 - Permits, Approvals & Right of Way (ROW)		
Yes No Permit or Approval	Yes No Permit or Approval	
Corps of Engineers Sec. 10 Sec. 404 Nationwide Type Individual Permit No. Coast Guard Permit Coastal Zone Management Certification Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO) Permit Forest Practices Act Permit Hydraulic Project Approval Local Building or Site Development Permits Local Clearing and Grading Permit National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Baseline General for Construction Shoreline Permit State Waste Discharge Permit TESC Plans Completed	 Water Rights Permit Water Quality Certification – Section 401 Issued by	
Other Federal Agencies - Does the project involve any federal	al properties, approvals or funding from other/additional	
federal agencies? Yes No If Yes, please de	escribe.	
Part 4 - Environme	ntal Considerations	
	of the following? Identify proposed mitigation.	
Attach additional pages or supple	emental information if necessary.	
 1. Air Quality - Identify any anticipated air quality issues. Is the project exempt from Air Quality conformity requirements? Yes No If Yes, identify exemption – please refer to Appendix G in the CE Guidebook for a list of exemptions. Is the project included in the Metropolitan Transportation Plan? Yes No If Yes, date Metropolitan Transportation Plan was adopted 11/10/2015 Is the project located in an Air Quality Non-Attainment Area or Maintenance Area for carbon monoxide, ozone or PM 10? Yes No 		
2. Critical and Sensitive Areas		
 Is this project within a sole source aquifer Yes No If located within a sole source aquifer, is the project exempt from EPA approval? If Yes, please list exemption: If No, date of EPA approval: 		
 Will this project impact Species/Habitat other than ESA listed species? Yes No Explain your answer. Is this project within one mile of a Bald Eagle nesting territory, winter concentration area or communal roost? No Will blasting, pile driving, concrete saw cutting, rock drilling or rock scaling activities occur within one mile of a Bald Eagle nesting area? No 		
 Are wetlands present within the project area? Yes Please attach a copy of the proposed mitigation plan. 	No If Yes, estimate the impact in acres:	

3	. Cultı	ural Resources/Historic Structures – Identify any historic, archaeological or cultural resources present within the
	projec	ct's Area of Potential Effects.
	D	oes the project fit into any of the exempt types of projects listed in Appendix J of the CE Guidebook?
		Yes No If Yes, note exemptions below.
	ı£	
	11	No: Date of DAHP concurrence: _8/23/16
		Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable):
		Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources? Yes No
		If Yes, date of approved Section 106 MOA:
4.		plains and Floodways
		project located in a 100-year floodplain? Yes 🔀 No
		is the project located within a 100-year floodway?
	Will th	e project impact a 100-year floodplain? Yes No If Yes, describe impacts.
5.	Hazar	dous and Problem Waste – Identify potential sources and type(s).
100.00	a)	Deceth
	b)	Will groundwater be encountered? Yes No
	c)	Will any properties be acquired as part of this project? Yes No
	d)	Is this site located in an undeveloped area (i.e. no buildings, parking, storage areas or agriculture?) Yes No
	e)	Is the project located within a one-mile radius of a known Superfund Site? Yes No
	f)	Is this project located within a ½-mile radius of a site or sites listed on any of the following Department of
		Ecology databases? Uses Substitution if Yes, check the appropriate boxes below.
		Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP), State Cleanup Site (SCS), or Independent Cleanup Program (ICP)
		☑ Underground Storage Tank (UST)
		Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) Confirmed and Suspected Contaminated Sites List (CSCSL)
	g)	= CSCSL)
	01	Has site reconnaissance (windshield survey) been performed? Yes No (Please identify any properties not identified in the Ecology or ERS database search as an attachment name, address and property
		use).
	h)	Based on the information above and project specific activities, is there a potential for the project to generate,
		acquire or encounter contaminated soils, groundwater or surface water? Yes No
	5 1	
		explain:
	SDOLL	has evaluated data from the Ecology Toxic Cleanup database and the City of Seattle GIS in relationship
	to the p	proposed project activities and determined that contaminated soils and groundwater will not be
	encoun	ntered on this project. In the unlikely event that contaminated soils do appear, SDOT will invoke our
	robust	standards for unexpected discoveries to insure that all soils are handled per State regulations. Please
	see the	Appendix for the detailed evaluation.
f vo	u respon	ided Ves to any of the following questions (EA _ EC _ EF == 4 EU) _ contact
· , 'Rig	ht-Sized"	nded Yes to any of the following questions (5A – 5C, 5F and 5H), contact your Region LPE for assistance as a 'HazMat Analysis Report/Memorandum most likely will be required.
_		was report included in the required.

		Part 4 - Environmental Considerations (continued)	
6	5. Noise		
	Does the project involve constructing a new roadway?		
	l:	s there a change in the vertical or horizontal alignment of the existing roadway?	
		oes the project increase the number of through traffic lanes on an existing roadway?	
	19	s there a change in the topography? Yes No	
	Δ	re there auxiliary lanes extending 1-½ miles or longer being constructed as part of this project? 🔲 Yes 🖂 No	
		you answered Yes to any of the preceding questions, identify and describe any potential noise receptors within the	
	р	roject area and subsequent impacts to those noise receptors. Please attach a copy of the noise analysis if required.	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	If	impacts are identified, describe proposed mitigation measures.	
		impacts the identified, describe proposed mitigation measures.	
7.	4	(f)/6(f) Resources: parks, recreation areas, wildlife refuges, historic properties, wild & scenic rivers,	
		cenic byways	
	a.	and the areas of impacts.	
		The Post Avenue Bridge is located adjacent and immediately to west of the Colman Building, a Historic Landmark located at 801 1st Avenue. The Biopeor Square Historic District house the column Building and Historic Landmark	
		located at 801 1st Avenue. The Pioneer Square Historic District boundary ends in the middle of Columbia Street approximately 25 feet south of the bridge. The project area is not located within any historic district.	
		to "use" for section 4(b). See allached enast -M	
	b.	Please identify any properties within the project limits that used funds from the Land & Water Conservation	
		Fund Act. None	
	c	Please list any Wild and Sconic Bivors and Courie B	
	C.	Please list any Wild and Scenic Rivers and Scenic Byways within the project limits. None	
8.	Δι	pricultural Lands - Are there agricultural lands within 200 ft a full and a significant and a signific	
٠.	, , , ¿	ricultural Lands – Are there agricultural lands within 300 feet of the project limits? Yes No If Yes, describe impacts:	
		Are impacted lands considered to be unique and prime farmland? Yes No	
		If Yes, date of project review by Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS):	
9.	Riv	vers, Streams (continuous or intermittent) or Tidal Waters	
	a.	Identify all waterbodies within 300 feet of the project limits or that will otherwise be impacted.	
		None	
	b.	Identify stream crossing structures by type.	
		NA	

Part 4	l - Environmental Considerations (c	ontinued)	
10. Tribal Lands – Identify whether the project will occur within any Tribal lands, including reservation, trust and fee lands. Please do not list usual and accustomed area. The project will not occur within any tribal lands.			
Water Quality/Stormwater Will this project's proposed stormwater treatment facility be consistent with the guidelines provided by either WSDOT's HRM, DOE's stormwater management manual for eastern/western Washington or a local agency equivalent manual? Yes No If No, explain proposed water quality/quantity treatment for the new and any existing impervious surface associated with the proposed project. The project area drains to the King County wastewater treatment system so the Ecology stormwater management manual provisions do not apply. The project will follow requirements of Seattle stromwater code regarding drainage in combined sewer areas. Amount of existing impervious surface within the project limits:8,640 Net new impervious surface to be created as a result of this project:0			
Describe previous environmental	12. Previous Environmental Commitments Describe previous environmental commitments that may affect or be affected by the project – if any. There are no previous environmental commitments.		
13. Environmental Justice Does the project meet any of the exemptions noted in Appendix L of the CE Documentation Guidebook? Yes No If Yes, please note the exemption and appropriate justification in the space below. 1) Roadway surface replacement, overlays, shoulder treatments, pavement repair, seal coating, pavement grinding, and pavement marking, that do not expand the existing wearing surface and; 4) Repair or replacement of curb and gutter, sidewalks and catch basins within the same location. When we lock for all action is less than one block for all actions of the project's potential impacts? If No, are minority or low-income populations located within the limits of the project's potential impacts? Yes No If No, attach appropriate data to support findings. If Yes, describe impacts and attach appropriate supporting documentation. Findings should be confirmed using at least two information sources. Please refer to the CE Guidebook for more information. Part 5 - Biological Assessments and EFH Evaluations			
 Do any listed species potentially occur in the project's action area and/or is any designated critical habitat present within the project's action area? Yes No Attach species listings. 		any designated critical habitat present	
Affected ESA Listed Species Oregon Spotted Frog proposed critical	2. Will any construction work occur within 0.5 mile of any of the following?	3. Does the project involve blasting, pile driving, concrete sawing, rock-drilling or rock-scaling activity within one mile of any of the following?	
habitat or suitable habitat? Yellow-billed Cuckoo suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Spotted Owl management areas, designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	

Part 5 - Biological Assessments and EFH Evaluations cont.			
Marbled Murrelet nest or occupied stand, designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	Yes No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Western Snowy Plover designated critical habitat?	Yes No	Yes No	
Is the project within 0.5 mile of marine waters? If Yes explain potential effects on Killer Whales and on Marbled Murrelet foraging areas.	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	
Killer Whale designated critical habitat?	⊠ Yes □ No	Yes No	
Grizzly Bear suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	⊠ Yes □ No	
Gray Wolf suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Canada Lynx habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Columbia White-tailed Deer suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Woodland Caribou habitat?	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Streaked Horned Lark designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Yes No	
Taylor's Checkerspot designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Mazama Pocket Gopher designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	Yes No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Eulachon designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Rockfish proposed critical habitat or suitable habitat?	Yes No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
A mature coniferous or mixed forest stand?	Yes No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
4. Will the project involve any in-water wo5. Will any construction work occur within waterbody that either supports or drai	300 feet of any perennial or intermitte	Yes No ent Yes No	
Will any construction work occur within is connected to any permanent or interr	300 feet of any wetland, pond or lake nittent waterbody?	that Yes No	
7. Does the action have the potential to directly or indirectly impact designated critical habitat for salmonids (including adjacent riparian zones)?			
8. Will the project discharge treated or untreated stormwater runoff or utilize water from a waterbody that supports or drains into a listed-fish supporting waterbody?			
9. Will construction occur outside the existing pavement? If Yes go to 9a. 9a. Will construction activities occurring outside the existing pavement involve clearing, grading, filling or modification of vegetation or tree-cutting?			
.0. Are there any Federally listed Threatened or Endangered plant species located within Yes No the project limits? If Yes, please attach a list of these plant species within the action area.			
1. Does a mature coniferous or mixed forest stand occur within 200' of the project site? Yes No			

Analysis for No Effects Determination – If there are any Yes answers to questions in Part 5, additional analysis is required. Attach additional sheets if needed.			
The project is located over 500 feet from the shoreline of Elliott Bay, an urbanized portion of Puget Sound. There are no Marbled Murrelets present in Puget Sound. Killer Whales in Elliott Bay do not typically enter the portion of the Bay on the Seattle waterfront. The noise produced by this project will be not be greater than that produced by numerous other construction projects in the vicinity and by traffic on the Alaskan Way Viaduct (SR99). The entire project area drains to a combined sewer system that goes to the King County West Point. The project will have no direct discharge to Puget Sound.			
Analysis for RRMP ESA 4(d) determination Maintenance Forum to utilize 4(d). N/A for		st be certified by the Regional Road	
Maintenance Category (check all that apply)	,		
1. Roadway Surface	6 Stream Crossings	11. Emergency Slide/Washout Repair	
2. Enclosed Drainage Systems	7. Gravel Shoulders	12. Concrete	
3. Cleaning Enclosed Drainage Systems	8. Street Surface Cleaning	13. Sewer Systems	
4. Open Drainage Systems	9. Bridge Maintenance	14. Water Systems	
5. Watercourses and Streams	10. Snow and Ice Control	15. Vegetation	
Describe how the project fits in the RRMP 4(d)	Program:		
Effect f each of the questions in the preceding section out adequate justification can be provided to sup checklist cannot be used for Section 7 compliance determination is anticipated), a separate biologic	oport a "no effect" determination, e (i.e., adequate justification cann	ny of the questions were checked "Yes," then check "No Effect" below. If this ot be provided or a "may effect"	
No Effect NLTAA - Date of Concurrence LTAA - Date BO Issued RRMP 4(d)	USFWS 6 9/13/16	EFH Determination ☑ No Adverse Effect ☐ Adverse Effect – Date of NMFS concurrence	

Part 3 - Permits, Approvals & Right of Way (ROW)		
Yes No Permit or Approval	Yes No Permit or Approval	
□ Corps of Engineers □ Sec. 10 □ Sec. 404 □ Nationwide Type □ Individual Permit No. □ Coast Guard Permit □ Coastal Zone Management Certification □ Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO) Permit □ Forest Practices Act Permit □ Hydraulic Project Approval □ Local Building or Site Development Permits □ Local Clearing and Grading Permit □ National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Baseline General for Construction □ Shoreline Permit □ State Waste Discharge Permit □ TESC Plans Completed	 Water Rights Permit Water Quality Certification – Section 401 Issued by	
Other Federal Agencies - Does the project involve any federa	l properties, approvals or funding from other/additional	
federal agencies? Yes No If Yes, please de	scribe.	
Part 4 - Environmer	ntal Considerations	
Will the project involve work in or affect any o	f the following? Identify proposed mitigation.	
Attach additional pages or supple	mental information if necessary.	
 1. Air Quality - Identify any anticipated air quality issues. Is the project exempt from Air Quality conformity requirements? Yes No If Yes, identify exemption – please refer to Appendix G in the CE Guidebook for a list of exemptions. Is the project included in the Metropolitan Transportation Plan? Yes No If Yes, date Metropolitan Transportation Plan was adopted 11/10/2015 Is the project located in an Air Quality Non-Attainment Area or Maintenance Area for carbon monoxide, ozone or PM 10? Yes No 		
2. Critical and Sensitive Areas		
 Is this project within a sole source aquifer Yes No If located within a sole source aquifer, is the project exempt from EPA approval? If Yes, please list exemption:		
 Are wetlands present within the project area?	es No If Yes, estimate the impact in acres:	

3.	Cultural Resources/Historic Structures – Identify any historic, archaeological or cultural resources present within the		
	project's Area of Potential Effects.		
	Does the project fit into any of the exempt types of projects listed in Appendix J of the CE Guidebook?		
	Yes No If Yes, note exemptions below.		
	If No: Date of DAHP concurrence: _8/23/16		
	Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable):		
	Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources? 🔲 Yes 🔀 No		
	If Yes, date of approved Section 106 MOA:		
4.	Floodplains and Floodways		
	Is the project located in a 100-year floodplain? Yes No		
	If Yes, is the project located within a 100-year floodway? Yes No		
	Will the project impact a 100-year floodplain? Yes No If Yes, describe impacts.		
_	Harandana and Bucklaus Wester, 11, 125 (1), 121 (1)		
5.	Hazardous and Problem Waste – Identify potential sources and type(s).		
	a) Does the project require excavation below the existing ground surface? Yes No		
	b) Will groundwater be encountered? Yes No		
	c) Will any properties be acquired as part of this project? Yes No		
	d) Is this site located in an undeveloped area (i.e. no buildings, parking, storage areas or agriculture?) Yes No		
	e) Is the project located within a one-mile radius of a known Superfund Site? Yes No f) Is this project located within a ½-mile radius of a site or sites listed on any of the following Department of		
	Ecology databases? Yes No If Yes, check the appropriate boxes below.		
	Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP), State Cleanup Site (SCS), or Independent Cleanup Program (ICP)		
	Underground Storage Tank (UST)		
	Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST)		
	Confirmed and Suspected Contaminated Sites List (CSCSL)		
	g) Has site reconnaissance (windshield survey) been performed?		
	properties not identified in the Ecology or ERS database search as an attachment name, address and property		
	use).		
	h) Based on the information above and project specific activities, is there a potential for the project to generate,		
	acquire or encounter contaminated soils, groundwater or surface water? Yes No		
	Please explain:		
	SDOT has evaluated data from the Ecology Toxic Cleanup database and the City of Seattle GIS in relationship		
	to the proposed project activities and determined that contaminated soils and groundwater will not be		
	encountered on this project. In the unlikely event that contaminated soils do appear, SDOT will invoke our		
	robust standards for unexpected discoveries to insure that all soils are handled per State regulations. Please		
	see the Appendix for the detailed evaluation.		
	see the Appendix for the detailed evaluation.		
If v	ou responded Yes to any of the following questions (5A – 5C, 5F and 5H), contact your Region LPE for assistance as a		
	tht-Sized" HazMat Analysis Report/Memorandum most likely will be required.		

	Part 4 - Environmental Considerations (continued)
6.	Noise
	Does the project involve constructing a new roadway? Yes No
	Is there a change in the vertical or horizontal alignment of the existing roadway? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	Does the project increase the number of through traffic lanes on an existing roadway? \square Yes \boxtimes No Is there a change in the topography? \square Yes \boxtimes No
	Are there auxiliary lanes extending 1-½ miles or longer being constructed as part of this project?
	If you answered Yes to any of the preceding questions, identify and describe any potential noise receptors within the project area and subsequent impacts to those noise receptors. Please attach a copy of the noise analysis if required.
	If impacts are identified, describe proposed mitigation measures.
7.	4(f)/6(f) Resources: parks, recreation areas, wildlife refuges, historic properties, wild & scenic rivers,
	scenic byways
	a. Please identify any 4(f) properties within the project limits and the areas of impacts.
	The Post Avenue Bridge is located adjacent and immediately to west of the Colman Building, a Historic Landmark
	located at 801 1st Avenue. The Pioneer Square Historic District boundary ends in the middle of Columbia Street approximately 25 feet south of the bridge. The project area is not located within any historic district.
	approximately 25 feet south of the bridge. The project area is not located within any historic district.
	b. Please identify any properties within the project limits that used funds from the Land & Water Conservation Fund Act. <i>None</i>
	c. Please list any Wild and Scenic Rivers and Scenic Byways within the project limits. <i>None</i>
8.	Agricultural Lands – Are there agricultural lands within 300 feet of the project limits? Yes No If Yes, describe impacts:
	Are impacted lands considered to be unique and prime farmland? Yes No
	If Yes, date of project review by Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS):
9.	Rivers, Streams (continuous or intermittent) or Tidal Waters
	 Identify all waterbodies within 300 feet of the project limits or that will otherwise be impacted. None
	b. Identify stream crossing structures by type.
	NA

Part 4 - Environmental Considerations (continued)			
10. Tribal Lands – Identify whether the lands. Please do not list usual and a		nds, including reservation, trust and fee	
The project will not occur within an	y tribal lands.		
11. Water Quality/Stormwater	untar trantmant facility ha consistent w	sith the guidelines wearided by either	
	vater treatment facility be consistent w management manual for eastern/west	ern Washington or a local agency equivalent	
If No, explain proposed water quali with the proposed project.	ity/quantity treatment for the new and	any existing impervious surface associated	
		so the Ecology stormwater management eattle stromwater code regarding drainage	
in combined sewer areas.	ne project will johow requirements of 5	euttie stroniwater tode regulaing dramage	
Amount of existing impervious surf	ace within the project limits:8,	640	
Net new impervious surface to be o	created as a result of this project:	_0	
	12. Previous Environmental Commitments Describe previous environmental commitments that may affect or be affected by the project – if any.		
There are no previous environment		cted by the project – II any.	
13. Environmental Justice			
	exemptions noted in Appendix L of the	CE Documentation Guidebook? 🛛 Yes	
☐ No If Yes, please note the exemption	and appropriate justification in the spa	ce below.	
	t, overlays, shoulder treatments, paver		
	ing, that do not expand the existing we and gutter, sidewalks and catch basins	=	
	opulations located within the limits of		
	Yes No If No, attach appropriate data to support findings. If Yes, describe impacts and attach appropriate		
supporting documentation. Finding CE Guidebook for more information		<u>vo</u> information sources. Please refer to the	
	Biological Assessments and EFH Eva	aluations	
raits-i	biological Assessments and Littleve	inditions	
1. Do any listed species potentially occur within the project's action area?	in the project's action area and/or is a Yes No Attach species listings.	nny designated critical habitat present	
Affected ESA Listed Species	2. Will any construction work occur within 0.5 mile of any of the	Does the project involve blasting, pile driving, concrete sawing, rock-drilling	
·	following?	or rock-scaling activity within one mile of any of the following?	
Oregon Spotted Frog proposed critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Yes No	
Yellow-billed Cuckoo suitable habitat?	Yes No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Spotted Owl management areas,	Yes No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?			

Part 5 - Biological Assessments and EFH Evaluations cont.			
Marbled Murrelet nest or occupied stand, designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	Yes No	Yes No	
Western Snowy Plover designated critical habitat?	Yes No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Is the project within 0.5 mile of marine waters? If Yes explain potential effects on Killer Whales and on Marbled Murrelet foraging areas.	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	
Killer Whale designated critical habitat?	⊠ Yes □ No	Yes No	
Grizzly Bear suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	∑ Yes ☐ No	
Gray Wolf suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Canada Lynx habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Columbia White-tailed Deer suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Woodland Caribou habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Streaked Horned Lark designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Taylor's Checkerspot designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Mazama Pocket Gopher designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Eulachon designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Rockfish proposed critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
A mature coniferous or mixed forest stand?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
4. Will the project involve any in-water work?			
6. Will any construction work occur within 300 feet of any wetland, pond or lake that is connected to any permanent or intermittent waterbody?			
 7. Does the action have the potential to directly or indirectly impact designated critical habitat for salmonids (including adjacent riparian zones)? 8. Will the project discharge treated or untreated stormwater runoff or utilize water Yes No No 			
from a waterbody that supports or drai Will construction occur outside the exis a. Will construction activities occurring grading, filling or modification of veget	ns into a listed-fish supporting water sting pavement? If Yes go to 9a. g outside the existing pavement invol	body?	
Are there any Federally listed Threaten the project limits? If Yes, please attach	ed or Endangered plant species locat	_	
11. Does a mature coniferous or mixed forest stand occur within 200' of the project site?			

Analysis for No Effects Determination – If there are any Yes answers to questions in Part 5, additional analysis is required. Attach additional sheets if needed.		
The project is located over 500 feet from the shoreline of Elliott Bay, an urbanized portion of Puget Sound. There are no Marbled Murrelets present in Puget Sound. Killer Whales in Elliott Bay do not typically enter the portion of the Bay on the Seattle waterfront. The noise produced by this project will be not be greater than that produced by numerous other construction projects in the vicinity and by traffic on the Alaskan Way Viaduct (SR99). The entire project area drains to a combined sewer system that goes to the King County West Point. The project will have no direct discharge to Puget Sound.		
Analysis for RRMP ESA 4(d) determination for NMFS – A local agency must be certified by the Regional Road Maintenance Forum to utilize 4(d). N/A for SDOT Projects		
Maintenance Category (check all that apply)		
1. Roadway Surface	6 Stream Crossings	11. Emergency Slide/Washout Repair
2. Enclosed Drainage Systems	7. Gravel Shoulders	12. Concrete
3. Cleaning Enclosed Drainage Systems	8. Street Surface Cleaning	13. Sewer Systems
4. Open Drainage Systems	9. Bridge Maintenance	14. Water Systems
5. Watercourses and Streams	10. Snow and Ice Control	15. Vegetation
Describe how the project fits in the RRMP 4(d) Program:		
Effect Determinations for ESA and EFH If each of the questions in the preceding section resulted in a "No" response or if any of the questions were checked "Yes," but adequate justification can be provided to support a "no effect" determination, then check "No Effect" below. If this checklist cannot be used for Section 7 compliance (i.e., adequate justification cannot be provided or a "may effect" determination is anticipated), a separate biological assessment document is required.		
NMFS	USFWS	EFH Determination
No Effect ■		
NLTAA - Date of Concurrence		Adverse Effect – Date of NMFS
LTAA – Date BO Issued		concurrence
RRMP 4(d)		

Part 6 - FHWA Comments		