## Complete notes of Discussion

Exercise 1: Evaluation of the following development scenarios:

1. Current zoning: Sites A1, A2 and C are NC<sub>3</sub>P-40(6<sub>5</sub>), sites B1 and B2 are NC<sub>3</sub>P-40, site D split between NC<sub>3</sub>P-40(6<sub>5</sub>) and MIO 10<sub>5</sub>

There was not much discussion of this scenario because it was perceived to not take advantage of the TOD potential or provide any additional community benefits through incentive zoning.

- 2. Raise heights on sites A, B and C. Sites A1 and A2 to NC<sub>3</sub>P-8<sub>5</sub>, A2, sites B1 and B2 to NC<sub>3</sub>-6<sub>5</sub>, site C to NC<sub>3</sub>P-8<sub>5</sub>
  - Set backs should be maximized to allow for greatest sun light on plaza. There is especially interest in setbacks on the south side and east side of A2. A shadow study would be useful to determine if the difference in heights between the various zoning scenarios on A2 and C will really have an impact on light in the plaza. One participant was not supportive of setbacks because they do not maximize density.
  - More green on street level and roofs is desired.
  - Maximize use of permeable surfaces and rain gardens.
  - Encourage town homes on first floor of B1 and B2 along 10<sup>th</sup> Ave to better integrate into lowrise neighborhood to the east. Apartment units could be built on top of town homes.
  - Use brick construction material on the first few floors of each project.
  - 3. Move heights and mass around the sites. A1 to NC<sub>3</sub>P-12<sub>5</sub>, A2 to NC<sub>3</sub>P-8<sub>5</sub>, B1 to NC<sub>3</sub>P-6<sub>5</sub>, B2 with 3 story cap, site C to NC<sub>3</sub>P-8<sub>5</sub>.
  - Towers do not maintain consistency along Broadway. It would take exceptional architecture if this scenario was allowed.
  - The idea of pulling some height from B2 to A1 was well thought of because it concentrates height
  - TDR or air rights could be used to subsidize community space.
  - 4. Sites A2 and C remain at existing zoning NC<sub>3</sub>P-40(6<sub>5</sub>). Site B2 is limited to 3 stories. Height limits are raised on sites Site B1 and A1. B1 to NC<sub>3</sub>P-6<sub>5</sub>, A1 to NC<sub>3</sub>P-160' with a maximum tower floor plate.
  - Again, there was no appetite for this type of tower construction.
  - TDR or air rights could be used to subsidize community space.

Exercise 2: Evaluation and design of options: Streetscape, Public Realm and Design Quality **Notes of Discussion** 

# Related to plaza elements

• Permeable surfaces are preferred.

## Workshop II - Design Quality and Scale, January 22 2011

**Blue Team Notes** 

- No tiered steps to solve difference of height between Broadway and 10<sup>th</sup>. Instead slope from Broadway to beginning of plaza so plaza is level with 10<sup>th</sup>.
- Do not cover permanently but allow for awnings to be extended off building into plaza.
- Include lots of trees and a couple community information kiosks.
- Design covered walkways (like and arcade) in B2 for farmer's market and general activity when it rains.

# Related to the pedestrian environment and streetscapes

- Connect plaza and north station entrance with a path between station and A1. Make it flow from north station through plaza to Cal Anderson Park.
- Use slope of site to direct storm water into a cistern below B2.
- Bike lanes, trees, benches, rain gardens, and café seating are all preferred uses of the public right of way.

## Related to E Denny

A partial closure was preferred by the group, using bollards to allow access to delivery trucks. No sidewalks was preferred and a continuity of surface materials from the plaza would make the plaza and Denny feel more connected. A café or restaurant with outdoor seating would activate the space.