Let us know what you think about the DRAFT Capitol Hill Design Guidelines!

1. **Priority Design Issues**
   We identified some priority design issues (see page 10 of the DRAFT).
   How well does this list capture the priority design issues?

   ○　○　○　○　○　○　○　○　●
   Not well　　　　　　Neutral　　　　　　Very well

   Is there another design issue in Capitol Hill that should be listed?

2. **Clarity**
   You shouldn't have to be an architect or a planner to understand the Design Guidelines
   Did we make the guidelines clear and easy to understand?

   Not clear　○　○　○　Neutral　○　●　○　Very clear

   Are there any guidelines that you don't understand?

3. **Photos**
   Photos of great development help communicate what the community wants.
   How well do the photos in the guidelines communicate future development you would like to see in Capitol Hill?

   Not well　○　○　○　Neutral　○　○　●　Very well

   Is there another new building in Capitol Hill or a similar neighborhood that might be good to include?

4. **Brevity**

The Design Review Board has limited time to review each development project. They need to focus on the important topics pretty quickly.

How well do the guidelines point to the most important design issues clearly and quickly?

○　　○　　○　　○　　○　　●　　○　　○　　○
Not well　　　　　　　Neutral　　　　　　　Very well

If pressed for time, are there guidelines that should be a lower priority for the Design Review Board?

I would look carefully at avoiding redundancy. There were a few guidelines that felt repetitive such as those that referenced vegetation.

5. **Redundancy**

The Neighborhood Design Guidelines should not repeat what's already included in the citywide Seattle Design Guidelines.

How well do the guidelines avoid redundancy with the Seattle Design Guidelines?

○　　○　　○　　○　　●　　○　　○　　○　　○
Not well　　　　　　　Neutral　　　　　　　Very well

Which guidelines might be redundant?

Any other feedback you would like to share about the Draft Capitol Hill Neighborhood Design Guidelines?

As a whole I felt really inspired by these guideline and believe that implementation of them would improve our natural ad built environment in Capitol Hill.

http://www.seattle.gov/opcd/ongoing-initiatives/capitol-hill-design-guidelines-update

Let us know what you think about the DRAFT Capitol Hill Design Guidelines!

1. **Priority Design Issues**
   We identified some priority design issues (see page 10 of the DRAFT).
   How well does this list capture the priority design issues?

   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   ●   ○
   Not well   Neutral   Very well

   Is there another design issue in Capitol Hill that should be listed?

   Capitol Hill should also consider development aspects that work for the expected growth in children that live nearby. Designing barriers between the street and sidewalks (ic. planters) could be a great improvement, at a low cost.

2. **Clarity**
   You shouldn't have to be an architect or a planner to understand the Design Guidelines
   Did we make the guidelines clear and easy to understand?

   Not clear   ○   ○   ○   Neutral   ●   ○   ○   Very clear

   Are there any guidelines that you don't understand?

   Please use more succinct and direct examples. A few descriptions required re-reading and discussion to understand. Example. Fenestration vs. windows.

3. **Photos**
   Photos of great development help communicate what the community wants.
   How well do the photos in the guidelines communicate future development you would like to see in Capitol Hill?

   Not well   ○   ○   ○   Neutral   ○   ○   ○   Very well

   Is there another new building in Capitol Hill or a similar neighborhood that might be good to include?

   N/A

4. **Brevity**

The Design Review Board has limited time to review each development project. They need to focus on the important topics pretty quickly.

How well do the guidelines point to the most important design issues clearly and quickly?

○　○　○　○　○　○　○　●　○
Not well　　　　　　Neutral　　　　　　　Very well

If pressed for time, are there guidelines that should be a lower priority for the Design Review Board?

N/A

5. **Redundancy**

The Neighborhood Design Guidelines should not repeat what's already included in the citywide Seattle Design Guidelines.

How well do the guidelines avoid redundancy with the Seattle Design Guidelines?

○　○　○　○　●　○　○　○　○
Not well　　　　　　Neutral　　　　　　　Very well

Which guidelines might be redundant?

I'm not sre on this issue

Any other feedback you would like to share about the Draft Capitol Hill Neighborhood Design Guidelines?

Aim lowe for the level at which an non-urban planner/architect would understand this content. Some of the descriptions were based a people already deeply involved in the process.

http://www.seattle.gov/opcd/ongoing-initiatives/capitol-hill-design-guidelines-update

Let us know what you think about the DRAFT Capitol Hill Design Guidelines!

1. **Priority Design Issues**
   We identified some priority design issues (see page 10 of the DRAFT).
   How well does this list capture the priority design issues?

   ○　　○　　○　　○　　○　　○　　○　　○　　●
   Not well　　　　　　　　　Neutral　　　　　　　　　Very well

   Is there another design issue in Capitol Hill that should be listed?

2. **Clarity**
   You shouldn't have to be an architect or a planner to understand the Design Guidelines
   Did we make the guidelines clear and easy to understand?

   Not clear　○　　○　　○　　Neutral　○　　○　　●　Very clear

   Are there any guidelines that you don't understand?

3. **Photos**
   Photos of great development help communicate what the community wants.
   How well do the photos in the guidelines communicate future development you would like to see in Capitol Hill?

   Not well　○　　○　　○　　Neutral　○　　●　　○　Very well

   Is there another new building in Capitol Hill or a similar neighborhood that might be good to include?

4. **Brevity**

The Design Review Board has limited time to review each development project. They need to focus on the important topics pretty quickly.

How well do the guidelines point to the most important design issues clearly and quickly?

○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ●
Not well   Neutral   Very well

If pressed for time, are there guidelines that should be a lower priority for the Design Review Board?

① Exterior Elements r Finishes
② Architectural Context + Character

We're in a housing shortage that promises to grow progressively worse over the next several decades. Streamlining approval processes should be prioritized over subjective aesthetic judgments that induce more expense or predatory delay

5. **Redundancy**

The Neighborhood Design Guidelines should not repeat what's already included in the citywide Seattle Design Guidelines.

How well do the guidelines avoid redundancy with the Seattle Design Guidelines?

○ ○ ○ ○ ● ○ ○ ○ ○
Not well   Neutral   Very well

Which guidelines might be redundant?

Any other feedback you would like to share about the Draft Capitol Hill Neighborhood Design Guidelines?

Let us know what you think about the DRAFT Capitol Hill Design Guidelines!

1. **Priority Design Issues**
   We identified some priority design issues (see page 10 of the DRAFT).
   How well does this list capture the priority design issues?

   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   ⊗
   Not well   Neutral   Very well

   Is there another design issue in Capitol Hill that should be listed?

2. **Clarity**
   You shouldn't have to be an architect or a planner to understand the Design Guidelines
   Did we make the guidelines clear and easy to understand?

   Not clear   ○   ○   ○   Neutral   ○   ⊗   ○   Very clear

   Are there any guidelines that you don't understand?

3. **Photos**
   Photos of great development help communicate what the community wants.
   How well do the photos in the guidelines communicate future development you would like to see in Capitol Hill?

   Not well   ○   ○   ○   Neutral   ○   ○   ⊗   Very well

   Is there another new building in Capitol Hill or a similar neighborhood that might be good to include?

4. **Brevity**

The Design Review Board has limited time to review each development project. They need to focus on the important topics pretty quickly.

How well do the guidelines point to the most important design issues clearly and quickly?

○ ○ ○ ○ ⊗ ○ ○ ○ ○
Not well   Neutral   Very well

If pressed for time, are there guidelines that should be a lower priority for the Design Review Board?

Cultural branding. I believe we can highlight inclusion without signage. CH isnt trying to become a flourishing + inclusive community, it has been, and it will be. We don't need to convince people, we need to foster beautiful space for people to live + work + play together in community.

5. **Redundancy**

The Neighborhood Design Guidelines should not repeat what's already included in the citywide Seattle Design Guidelines.

How well do the guidelines avoid redundancy with the Seattle Design Guidelines?

○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○
Not well   Neutral   Very well

Which guidelines might be redundant?

Not sure

Any other feedback you would like to share about the Draft Capitol Hill Neighborhood Design Guidelines?

http://www.seattle.gov/opcd/ongoing-initiatives/capitol-hill-design-guidelines-update

Let us know what you think about the DRAFT Capitol Hill Design Guidelines!

1. **Priority Design Issues**
   We identified some priority design issues (see page 10 of the DRAFT).
   How well does this list capture the priority design issues?

   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   Ⓥ

   Not well   Neutral   Very well

   Is there another design issue in Capitol Hill that should be listed?
   i.e. it captures the one that matters most to me: historic character!

2. **Clarity**
   You shouldn't have to be an architect or a planner to understand the Design Guidelines
   Did we make the guidelines clear and easy to understand?

   Not ⓒlear   ○   ○   ○   Neⓤtral   ○   ○   ○   Verⓨclear

   Are there any guidelines that you don't understand?
   Depends on how you look at. Its not terribly jargon heavy, its easy to read, but that being said some areas are unclear or vague and missing necessary detail.

3. **Photos**
   Photos of great development help communicate what the community wants.
   How well do the photos in the guidelines communicate future development you would like to see in Capitol Hill?

   Not ⓦell   ○   ○   ○   Neⓤtral   ○   ∅   ○   Verⓨwell

   Is there another new building in Capitol Hill or a similar neighborhood that might be good to include?
   The new Hugo House building is a good example. Dunn Motors might be another good one to include

4. **Brevity**
   The Design Review Board has limited time to review each development project. They need to focus on the important topics pretty quickly.
   How well do the guidelines point to the most important design issues clearly and quickly?

   ○   ○   ○   ○   ∅   ○   ○   ○   ○
   Not well   Neutral   Very well

   I only review the historic character sections, they were too brief!

   If pressed for time, are there guidelines that should be a lower priority for the Design Review Board?

5. **Redundancy**
   The Neighborhood Design Guidelines should not repeat what's already included in the citywide Seattle Design Guidelines.
   How well do the guidelines avoid redundancy with the Seattle Design Guidelines?

   ○   ○   ○   ○   ∅   ○   ○   ○   ○
   Not well   Neutral   Very well

   I didn't review it for this purpose

   Which guidelines might be redundant?

   Any other feedback you would like to share about the Draft Capitol Hill Neighborhood Design Guidelines?

   http://www.seattle.gov/opcd/ongoing-initiatives/capitol-hill-design-guidelines-update

Let us know what you think about the DRAFT Capitol Hill Design Guidelines!

1. **Priority Design Issues**
   We identified some priority design issues (see page 10 of the DRAFT).
   How well does this list capture the priority design issues?

   ○   ○   ○   ○   ⊘   ○   ○   ○   ○
   Not well   Neutral   Very well

   Is there another design issue in Capitol Hill that should be listed?

2. **Clarity**
   You shouldn't have to be an architect or a planner to understand the Design Guidelines
   Did we make the guidelines clear and easy to understand?

   Not clear ⊘   ○   ○   Neutral   ○   ○   ○   Very clear

   Are there any guidelines that you don't understand? lots of architectural & design jargon — too much in fact. It reflects the professional biases and sympathies of the work group.

3. **Photos**
   Photos of great development help communicate what the community wants.
   How well do the photos in the guidelines communicate future development you would like to see in Capitol Hill?

   Not well ○   ○   ○   Neutral   ○   ○   ○   Very well

   Is there another new building in Capitol Hill or a similar neighborhood that might be good to include?

4. **Brevity**

The Design Review Board has limited time to review each development project. They need to focus on the important topics pretty quickly.

How well do the guidelines point to the most important design issues clearly and quickly?

○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○
Not well   Neutral   Very well

If pressed for time, are there guidelines that should be a lower priority for the Design Review Board?

5. **Redundancy**

The Neighborhood Design Guidelines should not repeat what's already included in the citywide Seattle Design Guidelines.

How well do the guidelines avoid redundancy with the Seattle Design Guidelines?

○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○
Not well   Neutral   Very well

Which guidelines might be redundant?

Any other feedback you would like to share about the Draft Capitol Hill Neighborhood Design Guidelines? The summary history does not even mention the earliest design guidelines, which placed greater emphasis on architectural context. These guidelines are pro-growth, pro-developer and fail to show a true concern with social context and gentrification.

http://www.seattle.gov/opcd/ongoing-initiatives/capitol-hill-design-guidelines-update

Contact   Patrice Carroll
City of Seattle Office of Planning and Community Development
patrice.carroll@seattle.gov
206-684-0946

Let us know what you think about the DRAFT Capitol Hill Design Guidelines!

1. **Priority Design Issues**
   We identified some priority design issues (see page 10 of the DRAFT).
   How well does this list capture the priority design issues?

   ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ◉ ○
   Not well   Neutral   Very well

   Is there another design issue in Capitol Hill that should be listed?

2. **Clarity**
   You shouldn't have to be an architect or a planner to understand the Design Guidelines
   Did we make the guidelines clear and easy to understand?

   Not clear ○   ○   ○   Neutral ○   ○   ◉   Very clear

   Are there any guidelines that you don't understand?

   (I am a planner so trained in this
   stuff... take my comment
   w/ a grain of salt !)

3. **Photos**
   Photos of great development help communicate what the community wants.
   How well do the photos in the guidelines communicate future development you would like to see
   in Capitol Hill?

   Not well ○   ○   ○   Neutral ○   ◉   ○   Very well

   Is there another new building in Capitol Hill or a similar neighborhood that might be good to
   include?

4. **Brevity**
   The Design Review Board has limited time to review each development project. They need to focus on the important topics pretty quickly.
   How well do the guidelines point to the most important design issues clearly and quickly?

   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   ●   ○   ○
   Not well   Neutral   Very well

   If pressed for time, are there guidelines that should be a lower priority for the Design Review Board?

   the hierarchy really helps to see the big picture... then folks can get into the supplemental guidance if needed.

5. **Redundancy**
   The Neighborhood Design Guidelines should not repeat what's already included in the citywide Seattle Design Guidelines.
   How well do the guidelines avoid redundancy with the Seattle Design Guidelines?

   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○
   Not well   Neutral   Very well

   Which guidelines might be redundant?

   Not sure about city guidelines but seems like there is some internal redundancy to the CH guidelines. I wonder

   Any other feedback you would like to share about the Draft Capitol Hill Neighborhood Design Guidelines?

   if they could be distilled down a little more w/o losing the important points.

   good job! I hope this helps :)