

# OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY Closed Case Summary

Complaint Number OPA#2015-0807

Issued Date: 12/23/2015

Named Employee #1	
Allegation #1	Seattle Police Department Manual 5.100 (II.A.3.a.) Operations Bureau Individual Responsibilities: Duty Officer – Responsibilities – Complete Necessary Reports (Policy that was issued 07/20/2010)
OPA Finding	Not Sustained (Inconclusive)
Allegation #2	Seattle Police Department Manual 5.140 (2) Bias-Free Policing: Officers Will Not Engage in Bias-Based Policing (Policy that was issued 01/01/2015)
OPA Finding	Not Sustained (Inconclusive)
Final Discipline	N/A

## **INCIDENT SYNOPSIS**

The named employee was working as the desk clerk in a precinct.

## **COMPLAINT**

The complainant alleged that the named employee would not take her son's report when she accompanied him to a police precinct to report a check fraud. The complainant alleged biased policing.

#### <u>INVESTIGATION</u>

The OPA investigation included the following actions:

- 1. Review of the complaint email
- 2. Interview of the complainant
- 3. Interview of the subject
- 4. Search for and review of all relevant records and other evidence
- 5. Interview of an SPD employee

## **ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION**

The complainant stated that she and her son went to a precinct to report a fraudulent check and that the named employee would not take the report. The named employee could not recall this incident whatsoever. She does not recall the complainant coming to the precinct. The named employee stated that she would have taken a report if she had been informed of a check fraud situation and that she does not conduct biased policing.

#### **FINDINGS**

### Named Employee #1

Allegation #1

The evidence could not prove or disproved that the named employee failed to take a police report. Therefore a finding of **Not Sustained** (Inconclusive) was issued for *Operations Bureau Individual Responsibilities: Duty Officer – Responsibilities – Complete Necessary Reports.* 

#### Allegation #2

The evidence could not prove or disprove that the named employee engaged in biased policing. Therefore a finding of **Not Sustained** (Inconclusive) was issued for *Bias-Free Policing: Officers Will Not Engage in Bias-Based Policing.* 

NOTE: The Seattle Police Department Manual policies cited for the allegation(s) made for this OPA Investigation are policies that were in effect during the time of the incident. The issued date of the policy is listed.