



MEMBERS

Douglas Campbell University District Partnership Kay Kelly Laurelhurst Community Club Tomitha Blake Montlake Community Club Portage Bay/Roanoke Park Community Council Ravenna Springs Community Group Brett Frosaker Eric Larson Scott Cooper Roosevelt Neighbors Association Matthew Fox (Co-chair) University District Community Council Barbara Quinn University Park Community Council Wallingford Community Council Kerry Kahl Bry Osmonson University of Washington Students Ashley Emery University of Washington Faculty Jan Arntz University of Washington Staff <u>Alternates</u> Eastlake Community Council Leslie Wright Laurelhurst Community Club Miha Sarani Montlake Community Club Barbara Krieger Portage Bay/Roanoke Park Community Council Ravenna Springs Community Group ina Bryant Community Assoc Natasha Rodgers Roosevelt Neighbors Alliance Amanda Winters Roosevelt Neighbors Association rsity District Community Council Ruedi Risler University Park Community Club Jon Berkedal Wallingford Community Council Osman Salahuddin University of Washington Students Rick Mohler University of Washington Faculty University of Washington Staff Ex-Officio University of Washington, Office of Regional Affairs

City of Seattle - University of Washington Community Advisory Committee

Meeting Minutes Meeting #159 December 13, 2016 Adopted December 10, 2017 UW Tower 4333 Brooklyn Avenue Seattle, WA 98105 22nd Floor

Members and Alternates Present

Doug Campbell Kay Kelly John Gaines Joan Kelday Brett Frosaker Eric Larson

Scott Cooper Matthew Fox Barbara Quinn Brian O'Sullivan Kerry Kahl Bry Osmonson Jan Artnz Timmy Bendis (Alt.) Barbara Krieger (Alt. – non-voting) Ruedi Risler (Alt. – non-voting)

Staff and Others Present

Maureen Sheehan Sally Clark Kjris Lund (See attached attendance sheet) Lindsey King

I. Welcome and Introductions

Mr. Matt Fox opened the meeting. Brief introductions followed.

II. Housekeeping

There were no meeting minutes to review. The previous meeting minutes will be reviewed and adopted at the next meeting.

III. Public Comment (00:00:35)

Mr. Fox opened the discussion for public comments.

(Editor's Note: The comment shown below is a summary of statements provided. They are not transcriptions and have been shortened and edited to include the major points raised. Full comments are retained in the files in voice recording (.mp3) form)

Comments from A. Dewitt Jensen: Mr. Jensen of Jensen Motor Boat Co. commented that the street vacation along NE Boat St. will severely impact his business that has been in the current location for over 90 years. He noted that a vacation would eliminate vehicular access and parking along NE Boat St. which currently services about 60% access to their property. Eliminating this connectivity would further demise the historic and future maritime industry in the Puget Sound region by restricting its delivery access. This was recognized in the 1994 Agreement signed by University of Washington Executive Vice President and the Director of the City of Seattle's Engineering Department.

Mr. Jensen provided pictures and diagrams that shows the connectivity in and around Jensen Motor Boat Co.

He noted that during the EIS and MP scoping process, he was never notified or contacted to participate in the planning process.

Mr. Fox suggested he submit comments to the City and the City Master Use Permit Board by December 18th. Ms. Sally Clark inquired with Ms. Lindsay King about the deadline for public comment, and Ms. King mentioned that the deadline is on January 2, 2017.

Mr. Jensen provided a copy of his comment letter to the Committee for reference. (attached)

IV. Review Draft CMP/EIS comments (00:12:51)

Mr. Fox suggested adding a consideration in CUCACs comment letter that the University should study a West Campus green alternative that does not vacate Boat St. in the event a legal question or agreement comes up.

Ms. Kjris Lund opened the discussion to review the remaining draft CMP/EIS comments.

Ms. Lund noted that the goal for tonight's meeting is to finish the draft CMP/EIS comments and the draft comment letter. She mentioned that people sitting at the table are voting representatives. The folks that are not sitting at the table can pass their comments via notes to their neighborhood representative.

Height/Bulk:

Ms. Lund mentioned that the discussion from the last meeting involved building height along Montlake and a view corridor. She noted that a proposal from the group proposed a building height along Montlake be limited to 65 ft. and they also recommended a designated view corridor from a street level along Montlake.

The group voted down the reduced building height, therefore 130 ft. maintains, and agreed discuss the designated view corridor later in a separate discussion.

<u>Light/glare:</u>

Comment #38, The group agreed on this comment.

Comment #39, Mr. John Gaines proposed restating the comment that suggested a special consideration in evaluation of the building in the area view point of Portage Bay across the water.

Accessibility:

Comment #64, The group agreed to add University employees of all income levels. Mr. Campbell proposed for University employees and students that are not living along the walkshed to encourage the University to provide amenities at the campus to support employees such as U-pass, child care, transit subsidies, etc.

Comment #65, The group decided to drop this comment.

Comment #66, Ms. Clark aded that these bus stops (Stevens Way/Rainier Vista) may change when a new station opens. The group agreed on installing shelters and lighting and removing the reference to Stevens Way/Rainier Vista.

Comment #67, The group agreed to leave this comment.

Cumulative Impacts:

Comment #94, The group agreed to add transit mode share and "vehicular" traffic to the comment.

Editorial:

Comment #97, The group agreed on this comment.

Comment #98, The group agreed to add "Metro" on the comment.

Comment #99, The group agreed on this comment.

Comment #100, The group agreed to add "lighting" to the comment.

Comment #101, The group agreed to replace the term "will" rather than "should".

Comment #102, The group agreed to change the term to "enhanced quality".

<u>Parking:</u>

Comment #103, CUCAC will ask how the 800+ parking on the East Campus will be replaced.

Comment #104, Ms. Sheehan commented that each sector of campus has a list of site names, square footage, as well as existing and potential parking spaces, where as in South Campus, the breakdown are not listed by development site. The comment was asking to be consistent with all other sectors rather than listing the grand total. The group agreed.

Comment #105, The group agreed on this comment.

Comment #107, The group agreed on this comment.

Comment #108, The group agreed to have the University's contribution should be increased as needed toward the RPZ's outside the primary and secondary impact zones.

Comment #110, The group agreed to rephrase the comment to the University should study impacts of the loss of parking in West Campus, specifically Boat St. and Northlake Place that may drive out maritime businesses in the area.

Performance Measures:

Comment #114, The group agreed to add "lighting" to the comment.

Next Steps:

Ms. Joan Kelday inquired about Comment #109 and why it was not discussed. Ms. Lund suggested to make a note and verify the intent of the parking shortage issue and discuss it during the review of the comment letter.

V. Review Draft Comment Letter (01:21:22)

Ms. Lund opened the discussion to review each section the draft comment letter.

<u>General:</u>

Ms. Sheehan noted that the term main campus is referred to as central campus in the CMP. The group agreed to change the term "endorse" to "support" and changing the innovation district to University district.

She also noted about including U-Pass, and child care for staff for those commuting to campus in the comment letter. Mr. Campbell suggested to add providing housing options for all University employees in all income levels within the walkshed.

A suggestion was made to add Comment #50 to this section.

The group agreed on the general section of the comment letter as amended.

Boundaries/Primary and Secondary zone:

Ms. Sheehan noted that the University should be required to provide substantial financial contribution in lieu of property taxes when it is acquiring properties off campus for the benefit of the neighboring community.

The group agreed on this section as amended.

Design Standards:

Mr. Fox suggested to add some of the new housing along Campus Parkway, particularly Lander and Maple Hall and the reopening of 12th Ave NE on Comment #8.

A comment was made to replace the term "campus" to "land" on Comment #9.

Mr. Fox suggested to develop an alternative that does not involve the vacation of Boat St on Comment #10.

Ms. Jan Arntz suggested to replace "MIMP" with "CMP" on Comment #12.

The group agreed on this section as amended.

Energy/Utilities:

A comment was made to add "must follow best practices that go beyond current regulation and energy efficiency and conservation" to Comment #13.

Mr. Gaines suggested to include as a new sentence that "the University must evaluate feasibility in updating and expanding the district energy systems to incorporate renewable resources for heating and cooling."

The group agreed on this section as amended.

Height/Bulk/Scale:

Mr. Fox suggested to include "should" be required on Comment #29, 36, 34, 32, 28.

Ms. Lund noted that Comments #34 and #35 should be deleted, and add a sentence about a view corridor, and a height limit on building site W-38 should be lowered to preserve the view corridor.

The group agreed on this section as amended.

Neighborhood Impacts/Innovation District:

Mr. Campbell proposed expanding the idea of small business growth in the West Campus.

The group agreed to add the comment in the section.

Ms. Lund suggested keeping Comment #40 as written. Mr. Campbell suggested adding a sentence about West Campus growth should be evaluated in relation to its cumulative impacts with the expected growth of the U-District on Comments #45, #46, and #51.

The group agreed on this section as amended.

Open Space/Landscaping:

Ms. Lund suggested moving Comment #55 to a different section of the comment letter.

The group agreed on this section as amended.

<u>Transportation – Bike/Ped Safety:</u>

Ms. Sheehan suggested adding improvements including lighting to Comment #68.

A comment was made to add "innovative pedestrian safety solutions should be analyzed including all way crosswalks at 45^{th} " on Comment #73.

A comment was made to add "a recognizable" gateway is needed on Comment #77.

The group agreed on this section as amended.

<u>Transportation – Connectivity:</u>

Mr. Fox suggested to include micro-housing that provides little or no parking.

A comment was made to add bicycle path lighting on Comment #90.

A comment was made to delete worst fears and substitute with can be measured against pre-defined mode shift goals.

The group agreed on this section as amended.

Editorial:

Ms. Sheehan commented that the editorial section are mostly qualifying statements and any vocabulary and spelling mistakes.

Mr. Campbell suggested adding that the University should build a large-scale model of the campus for greater engagement of the public.

The group voted and agreed to add the sentence to the editorial section.

A comment was made that an innovation district should focus on learning and teaching students and should maintain to be "student oriented".

Next Steps:

Ms. Sheehan said she will go back and do a final review of the comments and will send to the committee by end of day Thursday. Her goal is to have the letter submitted by end of day Friday. The comment deadline is December 17.

VI. New Business

There was no new business.

VII. Adjournment

The next scheduled meeting is Tuesday, January 10th. No further business being before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned.