



July 27, 2023

To: Andrew Davis, Chief Real Estate Officer
Camila Level, Swedish Parking and Commuting Manager

From: Ellie Smith, Seattle Dept. of Transportation
Sarah Spicer, Seattle Dept. of Transportation
Gordon Clowers, Seattle Dept. of Construction and Inspections (SDCI)

Dear Andrew and Camila,

Here are our comments in response to the Swedish Medical Center – Cherry Hill updated Annual Report.

- Overall, SDOT very much appreciates you taking the time to respond to our questions in the letter received on 5/30/23. We believe these additional elements of clarity made your Annual Report stronger and a more useful document to all parties moving forward, especially since there has been such a significant lag in reporting over the last handful of years.
- SDOT acknowledges the stated commitment to submit the Swedish Medical Center Cherry Hill Annual Report no later than the first quarter of each year.
- We look forward to hearing more about the upcoming ITB engagement. In addition to having us directly engaged in this process, we anticipate that your 2023 Annual Report will discuss the progress and outcomes of that process; in particular, we strongly encourage you to use that forum to explore the 2022 survey results in more depth and provide to us your insights.
- We saw mention of the lack of complete data from WSDOT multiple times in this Annual Report. WSDOT's capacity to respond to requests for site-specific edits to the original reports sent out has been limited as they are laser focused on getting the new survey tool launched. That said, all of the data you mentioned is available to you through your Commuter Insights report--both the aggregate report relevant to the MIMP and employer-specific reports. Additional insights can be requested by reaching out to Commute Seattle directly. If for whatever reasons you don't want to leverage these existing reports, we suggest you wait for the new WSDOT tool to be launched, will give us the capability to directly upload equivalent data in a specific format.
- Thank you for providing additional context on pages 28-29 to explain challenges encountered during the reporting cycle.

We have a few requested changes for the final version of the Annual Report:

- Page 4. Please update the SOV performance numbers for 2017. I believe this must have been transposed incorrectly at some point. It should be 47.9%, not 56.6%.

Campus-wide	2014	2016	2017	2019
Drive-Alone	57.5%	56.7%	47.9%	47.0%

- Page 5, Council Condition #3: We understand this language is directly from the Council list of conditions. However, it would still be useful to include a note about detailed description of the SOV goals of the MIMP: Starts at 50% in 2016 (P.80, MIMP); 2% reduction every two years, meaning a 44% SOV goal in 2022.
- Page 44: *When the 2022 CTR Survey becomes available, Swedish Cherry Hill will analyze the results and consider this a new baseline.* Please indicate receipt of results and intention to further analyze data in determining next steps for TMP activities.

Sincerely,

<signed>
Ellie Smith

<signed>
Gordon Clowers

cc: Nelson Pesigan, DON
Dipti Garg, DON