*SENT VIA EMAIL*

March 22, 2022

**Interim Chief Diaz**

Seattle Police Department

Adrian.Diaz@seattle.gov

**Monitor Antonio Oftelie**

Seattle Police Monitor

Antonio.oftelie@seattlepolicemonitor.org

**Brian Maxey**

Seattle Police Department
Brian.Maxey@Seattle.gov

**Loren Atherley**Seattle Police Departmentloren.atherley@seattle.gov

**Re: Crisis Intervention Data Questions**

Dear Interim Chief Diaz, Monitor Oftelie, Brian Maxey, Loren Atherley,

Thank you for your detailed response on why the Crisis Intervention Open data does not include the levels of use of force. It brought to light critical points regarding risk to privacy and usability – striking a balance between the two can be challenging at times.

However, we believe there is a disconnect between this thought process and the monitoring team. As evidenced during CPC’s read-through and written feedback of the Crisis Intervention Report on 1/24/2022, and the verbal feedback through the community meeting hosted on 02/08/2022, the Crisis Intervention Report strongly emphasizes that all data used in the report is open to the public. Yet, a vital part of the Crisis Intervention Report hinges on the levels of use of force – which are not available to the public as discussed.

CPC believes that a) CPC, SPD, and the monitoring team can work on producing a public dataset that is both understandable to the public and accurately reflects the use of force levels while considering privacy and geospatial risks or b) The monitoring team should make it explicitly clear as to why the levels of use of force and other data points were omitted from the public datasets.

Sincerely,

Douglas Wagoner, Rev. Harriet Walden – Co-Chairs

Brandy Grant – Executive Director

