## **Seattle City Light Review Panel**

c/o K. Wingers, Seattle City Light
P.O. Box 32023 Seattle, WA 98124-4023
SCL CLRPquestions@seattle.gov

August 23, 2018

Councilmember Teresa Mosqueda and Members, HHEWR Committee Seattle City Council

RE: SCL Review Panel Proposed Rate Design Update Work Plan in response to Council Resolution 31819

Dear Councilmember Mosqueda and Members of the HHEWR Committee:

With this letter, we transmit the City Light Review Panel's proposed work plan for accomplishing the rate design update project outlined in Council Resolution 31819. We appreciate the Council's willingness to allow us to take the lead on this effort.

Having reviewed the text of Resolution 31819, we think it is important to clarify the scope of work we plan to undertake, and the nature of our response. The Council Resolution lays out a broad array of subject matter and a very short time line in which to conduct this work. As a lay citizen panel—not composed of electric industry rate design experts – we will be responding to your request *at a policy level*. The Panel normally meets only once per month and we will increase our number of meetings between now and next April in order to respond to your request, which we are willing to do.

However, even with doubling the amount of meeting time, we believe it will be necessary to narrow the frame of subject matter that we tackle. Specifically, we do not anticipate revisiting the revenue requirement for the Utility (that is confirmed in the approved strategic plan), and we do not anticipate re-examining the methodology to allocate costs between ratepayer classes. We will instead focus on alternative policy approaches to designing electric rates applied to various customer classes. Also, we propose to allow the interdepartmental team tasked with re-examining the current Utility Discount Program to take the lead on that issue, and we will provide our input to them at the point in their work plan that it is needed.

The Council has requested an initial report from the Panel by January 15, 2019. The Panel intends this initial report to include a summary review of rate design in other similar utilities, based on data generated by the Utility, together with a summary of stakeholder input and ideas we hear this fall, a proposed "problem statement," and a statement of "goals and objectives" related to rate design.

We will seek to provide our final report outlining our rate design policy priorities by April 1, 2019, including a statement of the Panel's preferred rate design tools to accomplish those priorities. Additional work will be necessary beyond our report to develop a detailed rate design proposal: the Utility expects to pick up that work next spring after you have an opportunity to respond to our report.

We note that the new General Manager for City Light has not been selected, and he or she may bring a very different perspective to these issues that could require adjustment in our schedule.

Also, with respect to stakeholder outreach, we will be reaching out to the signatories of the letter to Councilmember Sawant dated July 24, 2017 and will also reach out to other constituencies that City Light has traditionally engaged in the development of its strategic plans. We will have limited time to hear from these groups *in person*, but written comments can supplement any presentation and discussion with us.

We enclose a two page outline of our proposed work plan for this project. This work plan is structured to enable us to provide you a thoughtful response, focusing on policy issues, and consistent with the Panel's role as a "lay" group of citizen volunteers. We will be sharing both this proposed work plan, and this letter, with the stakeholders submitting the July 24 letter.

We would be glad to speak with you about the work plan further. Given the short timeframe for this project, we have already begun work.

Sincerely,

Patrick Jablonski, Chair

City Light Review Panel

Gail Labanara, Vice-Chair City Light Review Panel

dal ful

CC: Honorable Jenny Durkan

Deputy Mayor David Moseley

James Baggs, Interim General Manager, SCL

**SCL Review Panel Members** 

## **SCL Review Panel Rate Design Update Proposed Work Plan**

Document dated August 21, 2018

The table below shows how the City Light Review Panel proposes to accomplish the Rate Design Update Work Plan established by Council Resolution 31819. The Panel normally meets 1 time per month, but will need to meet more frequently in order to accomplish the work plan outlined by Council.

Blue text notes major deliverables. Italicized text highlights stakeholder outreach/engagement work.

July 2018	Review Council resolution on strategic plan, rate design update work plan
(completed)	Discuss scope and focus of effort
(completed)	·
1 meeting	<ul> <li>Review draft outline of work plan and offer suggestions</li> <li>Review 2017 letter from stakeholders</li> </ul>
1 meeting	
	Briefing: Rate Design 101
August	a Davieus askadula and wark plan of Htility Discount Draggers (HDD)
August	Review schedule and work plan of Utility Discount Program (UDP)     interdeport to a real tagget and discours with the real page. Borel, and best are real.
1 monting	interdepartmental team and discuss with them how Panel can best engage
1 meeting	<ul> <li>Approve proposed rate design update work plan and transmittal letter to Council, Mayor</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Review and discuss current SCL conditions to develop draft problem statement for rate design update work plan</li> </ul>
	• Review and discuss range of <b>goals and objectives</b> related to rate design.
	• Initial stakeholder outreach conducted by SCL staff on behalf of Panel—informing
	them of project, goals, timing for input, and seeking feedback
	Briefing: Rate Design 201
September	Brainstorming draft goals and objectives related to rate design
	Continued discussion, action: adopt draft problem statement
2 meetings	Briefing: Review of major components of rate design alternatives—what are the
	tools, how they are used, what impacts do these tools have, what are the trade- offs.
	• Identify list of key questions on which to seek stakeholder input, further
	information
	Confirm scope for SCL's research on comparable utilities requested by Council as part of the rate design update project
	Confirm next steps in stakeholder outreach (who contacted, process for
	engagement with Panel)
	•
October	Two 3-hour sessions where Panel hears from stakeholder group representatives,
3000001	responding to list of questions in writing and in person. Sessions will be held on
2 meetings	October 9 and October 23, from 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.
	Additional written input that cannot fit into these sessions will be taken and
	considered.
	551.5182.281

November	Additional stakeholder input session if needed.
	Panel discussion:
2 meetings	o Identify key points of agreement/ disagreement amongst stakeholders.
	<ul> <li>Agree upon major takeaways/themes from stakeholder input.</li> </ul>
	Briefing: review draft of comparative utility rate design report
	• Consider refinements to draft problem statement based on stakeholder input,
	comparative utilities rate design report.
	<ul> <li>Consider refinements to draft goals and objectives statement based on</li> </ul>
	stakeholder input
	Discuss/Identify Panel key points of agreement, disagreement, and remaining
	questions.
	<ul> <li>Provide direction to staff to prepare interim report to Council.</li> </ul>
December	Review, edit and approve contents of interim report to Council, to include:
	<ul> <li>Report on comparable utilities (prepared by SCL staff)</li> </ul>
1 meeting	<ul> <li>Report on input from stakeholders</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Draft statement goals and objectives related to SCL rate design</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Draft problem statement</li> </ul>
	Deliberations on rate design preferred approaches.
January	Deliberations on rate design preferred approaches
	Develop presentation to Council on interim report
1 or 2	• Outreach to stakeholders on interim report, process for providing additional input
meetings	if desired.
	• [Interim Report Due to Council by January 15]
February	Deliberation on rate design preferred approaches
	Opportunity for Additional Stakeholder input to Panel
1 or 2	
meetings	
March	<ul> <li>Review draft report to Council and provide direction to finalize.</li> </ul>
	Develop presentation to Council on Panel recommendations
1 or 2	
meetings	
April	Present to Council
Ahiii	[Final Report Due to Council by April 1]
1	I ▼ I FIII aI REDOTE DUE LO COUNCII DV ADTII II