

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

A. Background

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:
Morgan Junction Park Expansion Landbanked Site Development (expansion of existing Morgan Junction Park)
2. Name of applicant:
City of Seattle, Seattle Parks and Recreation
3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:
**Kelly Goold, Sr. Capitol Projects Coordinator
c/o Seattle Parks and Recreation
Planning & Development Division
300 Elliott Ave W, South Suite 100
Seattle, Washington 98119
(206) 684-0586**
4. Date checklist prepared:
1/26/2021
5. Agency requesting checklist:
City of Seattle, Seattle Parks and Recreation (SPR)
6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
**Phasing:
Soil remediation and rough site grading: fall and winter 2021;
Construction and park development is proposed to start in the spring of 2022 with completion in Winter 2022/23**
7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.
No.
8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

Data Summary and Cleanup Action Plan
9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.
Transfer of Jurisdiction agreement between Seattle Department of Transportation and Seattle Parks and Recreation for park use of the existing S. Eddy St. Right-of-way that runs in between the two Parks parcels.
10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

**City of Seattle, Type 1 Master Use Permit
City of Seattle, Grading Permit
City of Seattle, Construction Permit**

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

This project is an expansion of the existing Morgan Junction Park in Seattle. The site parcel is 20,378 SF. The park will be expanded by bridging the site across the Eddy Street right-of-way to the existing park and building landscape and community amenities on the site, a former convenience store/dry cleaner and gas station at the corner of SW Eddy Street and California Avenue SW. Park expansion will include connections to the existing park and creating a gathering space, a play area, an open lawn, a view hill, pathways, and woodlands with plantings on the site. Pedestrian-scaled lighting is also part of the proposal. The streetscape along California Avenue will be updated, and there will be addition of curb, gutter, sidewalk, planting, and street trees along the currently unimproved frontage of Eddy Street. The pedestrian and emergency circulation system for the expanded park is designed and will be constructed for safety, durability, accessibility, and compliance with the American with Disabilities Act.

The project for which this SEPA checklist has been prepared includes two phases: soil remediation/grading and park development.

Exhibit A (attached) includes maps, aerial photos, a site plan, and a description of anticipated construction methods for both phases.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The proposal site is currently known as the Morgan Junction Park Expansion Landbanked Site. When developed, it will be part of the existing adjoining Morgan Junction Park. The site is located at 6317 California Ave. SW, Seattle, Washington 98136, King County.

Legal: Lots 3 and 4, Block 46, Sea View Park Addition to the City of Seattle, according to the plat thereof recorded in Volume 13 of Plats, page 80, in King County, Washington.

Parcel: 3357400055

B. Environmental Elements

1. Earth

a. General description of the site:

Currently, there are no remaining structures on the formerly improved site. Previous site uses include a convenience store/dry cleaner and a service station and, in the more distant past, a commercial car wash and a coal and heating oil storage area. SPR purchased the property in 2014 and demolished the on-site buildings in 2016. The foundation and concrete slab floors from the convenience store and dry cleaner remain in place. The eastern portion of the property and areas around the buildings are paved with asphalt. The western portion of the property has blackberry bushes. Temporary fencing encircles the paved portions of the property.

The site is mostly flat. Overall, it slopes gently downhill about 12 feet from the NE to SW corners. There is a steep slope along the SW edge by the SW Eddy St ROW. See 1b. below.

(circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other: **FLAT**

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The steepest slope on the site is approximately 40% along the SW edge of the site by the SW Eddy Street right-of-way. See 8h. below.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

Soils within the depths expected to be encountered during site remediation and park development include silt and silty glacial sands. Existing soils to be amended to sustain long-term growth of planted areas.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

None known.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

The source area soil excavation removal quantities; approximately 2,600 square feet, 18 feet below grade, and 1,733 cubic yards of soil removal. Disposal of unsuitable soils will be at permitted fill site. Backfill will be with clean fill

Additional earthwork will be required beyond the HAZMAT Soil removal. Approximate earthwork numbers are as follows:

Cut: 248 CY

Fill: 1,303 CY

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Surface erosion is always a possibility as a result of clearing and grading operations. Minor localized erosion may occur as a result of soil remediation and construction activities. However, these impacts will be prevented from extending beyond the project limits or into groundwater or local utilities through use of Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)

best management practices. On-site erosion control measures such as silt fence, a construction exit, catch basin inlet protection, interceptor swales, mulching, dust control, and other standard construction erosion control practices, as well as seasonal limitations on construction, will control potential on-site erosion. These measures will be employed during both the soil remediation/grading and the park development phases.

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

Approximately 15% of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after the park development phase of the project is completed, including circulation paths, event space, and gathering areas.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

To the extent possible, the disturbed area of the site will be limited to minimize erosion potential. Structural practices to control erosion include a stabilized construction exit, filter fabric fence for perimeter siltation control, temporary interceptor trenches, check dams and a sediment settling tank. All catch basins in the vicinity of the work will have erosion protection throughout both phases: soil remediation/grading and park development. All work will be performed in compliance with local and state code and permitting requirements.

2. Air

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

During soil remediation/grading and construction, emissions to the air in the form of dust and exhaust from transportation and construction equipment can be expected to occur. Earth-moving activities and resulting airborne dust are restricted by State and Local Code. There will be an increase in passenger vehicle trips to and from the site during the soil remediation and park development work weeks. No additional emissions than currently exist on the site would result following completion of soil remediation and park development.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

None known.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

All work will be performed in compliance with State and Local Code and permitting requirements.

3. Water

- a. Surface Water:

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

There are no known surface water bodies located within the project area or immediate vicinity.

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

No.

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

Not Applicable.

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No, the project will not require surface water withdrawals or diversions.

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

No, the project site is not within a floodplain. According to FEMA's National Flood Hazard Layer viewer, the site is in an area of minimal flood hazard.

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No, the project does not propose discharges of waste materials to surface waters. However, the project will involve soil remediation, and excavated contaminated soil will be disposed of off-site at a legally-permitted disposal site.

b. Ground Water:

- 1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No, there are no onsite wells. Water service is through Seattle Public Utilities.

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

No waste materials will be discharged into the ground water as part of this project. However, the project will involve soil remediation, and excavated contaminated soil will be disposed of off-site at a legally-permitted disposal site.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

The source of runoff will be storm water runoff from walkways/gathering areas, seating areas, landscaped areas, and the underdrain for the play area. Some of the new walkways will be treated and managed with an onsite bioretention cell. The stormwater overflow from the bioretention cell and the other new improvements will be collected and conveyed to the municipal storm system in California Ave. SW, which discharges directly to Puget Sound via pipe.

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

No waste material will be discharged to groundwater as a result of the proposed project.

- 3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

The proposal will enhance the drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site by providing a new curb and gutter system in the right-of-way along California Ave. SW.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

During the soil remediation and construction phases, appropriate temporary erosion control best management practices will be implemented and maintained to control runoff. Permanent measures to reduce and control runoff from the completed project will include catch basins, underground conveyance pipe, swales, and an infiltrating bioretention cell.

4. Plants

- a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

deciduous tree: alder

evergreen tree:

shrubs: blackberry

grass

pasture

crop or grain

orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.

wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other

water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other

other types of vegetation:

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

The existing vegetation – mostly blackberry bushes, grass, and the few alder trees – will be removed. This is necessary for soil remediation/grading and park development.

- c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None known or observed.

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

The park development proposes to plant 40 trees in the park and the surrounding right-of-way. As shown in the site plan (Exhibit A), plantings will include a sentinel evergreen tree and a woodlands area with plant understory. All disturbed areas on the site not receiving surfaces as described previously will be restored with erosion control and hydroseeding or new landscaping consistent with public use.

- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

Himalayan blackberry.

5. *Animals*

- a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other: **birds typical of suburban environments such as jays, crows, sparrows, etc are likely to be on or near the site.**

mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other: **Small mammals typical of suburban environments such as rodents/squirrels, raccoons, are likely to be seen or on near the site.**

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other: **None.**

- b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.
None known
- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.
The Pacific Flyway, one of two major migratory bird routes in North America, covers much of the West coast including the proposed site. Key rest stops are not known to be located within this site.
- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:
None proposed.
- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.
None known

6. *Energy and Natural Resources*

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.
The local utility Seattle City Light provides electricity to the site for lighting and general convenience power. Electrical outlets will supply for vending carts, and for park users to charge phones and operate portable electronics. Power to the automatic irrigation system, and pedestrian lighting shall also be provided. No other energy sources are used on this site.
- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties?
If so, generally describe.
No, not with the initial development. There are no structures proposed on this site that would prevent adjacent properties from obtaining solar power on building roofs. Over time the proposed trees may grow tall enough to partially shade adjacent properties to the west and north.
- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:
Low energy use (LED) lighting fixtures.

7. Environmental Health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.
- 1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.
During the operation of the former dry cleaner and service station, contaminant releases likely occurred: chlorinated solvents below the dry cleaners and petroleum-related compounds from the former underground storage tank at the service station. In 1991, this tank was removed and associated petroleum-contaminated soil was spread on the western half of the property. There are no known water supply wells downgradient of the site. Current soil pathways include leaching to groundwater and volatilization to soil vapor. However, future development as a park would create the opportunity for direct exposure to shallow soils.

See “Data Summary and Cleanup Action Plan: Morgan Junction Addition Project” (Pacific Groundwater Group, March 2020) (Exhibit B) for more information.
 - 2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.
See above. There are no known underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area.
 - 3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.
None known.
 - 4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.
The project health and safety plan outlines a protocol for any emergency services that could result from an accident during construction.
 - 5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:
The proposed remedy for the environmental contamination is a targeted source area soil excavation with in-situ remediation, groundwater monitoring, and an environmental covenant.

The excavation will be the first phase of the construction project to convert the site into a park and involves the removal of the existing pavement and source area soil. Heavy machinery will be used to load soil into trucks for offsite disposal. Confirmation samples will be collected from the sides and bottom of the excavation to confirm removal of contaminated soil. Additional excavation may be performed based on sample results.

Post-excavation groundwater monitoring will be conducted twice a year for five years, with monitoring every other year for up to 25 years afterwards. If there are sufficient consecutive rounds of monitoring (either semiannual or biennial) with no contaminant exceedances, then groundwater monitoring may end. Post-excavation soil gas sampling will also be conducted to ensure that the removal of both the

source of vapor and the pavement that trapped it was sufficient to address vapor hazards.

See “Data Summary and Cleanup Action Plan: Morgan Junction Addition Project” (Pacific Groundwater Group, March 2020) (Exhibit B) for more information.

Also, a stamped site survey has reviewed the utilities in the area including gas lines, and the contractor will complete 811 locates prior to any ground disturbance.

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

Existing ambient and peak noise levels produced off-site are generally limited to traffic and neighboring commercial use. None of these will affect the proposal.

- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Short-term noise impacts from the proposal are all construction-related, and will occur as allowed under the City of Seattle Construction Permit, 7:00 a.m. - 6:00 p.m. on weekdays. They will be allowed from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on weekends and holidays only with expressed written consent from the owner.

Long-term operation of the facility will not result in significant changes to current noise levels. As a public park, the site will generate noise typically associated with recreational activities such as children yelling, shouting, and playing and occasional crowd noise for gatherings such as picnics and community festivals.

Public park operations have certain exemptions from the general noise ordinance. Park operations and park users are subject to Seattle Municipal Code Section 25.08.520.

- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

Short-term noise impacts will be mitigated to some degree by local noise ordinances regulating hours of construction, park operation, and maximum noise levels.

Long-term noise effects are mitigated largely by limiting the hours of operation of the Park. Parks Department policies require that the park closes at 11:30pm.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

The site is currently vacant. Surrounding residential and commercial uses appear consistent with the neighborhood zoning classifications. The proposal will not affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties.

- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

There is no documented farm or forest land use on this site.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

There are no nearby working farms or forest lands.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

There are no existing structures on the site.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

Not applicable.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

The project site is zoned neighborhood commercial (NC3-55), which is consistent throughout the main intersection of California Ave. SW and Fauntleroy Way SW. There are numerous local businesses nearby. There is multi-family zoning one block east of this intersection along 42nd Ave SW between SW Graham and SW Holly St. The rest of the surrounding neighborhood is single-family residential. Park and open space uses are allowed uses in neighborhood commercial zones.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

City-owned Open Space

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Not applicable.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

The site has been mapped as having a Steep Slopes (40% average) (ECA 1) – along the southwest edge. See 1b. above.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

No one will reside or work in the completed project.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

None proposed.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The project is intended to develop and expand a park in a neighborhood that has been identified as having a park and open space gap by the 2017 City of Seattle Park and Open Space Plan.

m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

None proposed. There are no agricultural or forest lands of significance that will be impacted by this proposal.

9. Housing

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
None.
- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
None.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:
None proposed.

10. Aesthetics

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?
No structures are being added with the current proposal.
- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?
Removal of paved areas to create landscaping and topography changes will improve views into and around the site. Vertical elements of trees and pedestrian site lighting will have limited impact to views through the site.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:
None proposed.

11. Light and Glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?
The proposal will utilize dark-sky compliant LED pedestrian lighting on poles . See 10b. above.
- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?
For adjacent residential properties, no safety issues or interference with views are anticipated.
- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?
The parking lot lighting of the commercial property to the south may create some glare on the site.
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:
City of Seattle has light and glare standards (SMC23.47A.022 - Light and Glare standards) that the City of Seattle Parks & Recreation will adhere to. The proposal utilizes high-efficiency LED dark-sky compliant pedestrian lights designed to reduce light and glare impacts.

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?
The proposal site will be an addition to the existing Morgan Junction neighborhood and community park that provides a variety of recreational opportunities. There are no other parks within one-half mile. Parks within a mile include Fairmount Playground, Pelly Place Natural Area, Lincoln Park, Myrtle Reservoir Park, Lowman Beach Park, and Walt Hundley Playfield. See Exhibit A.
- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.
The proposal will not displace existing recreational uses since there are none currently on the expansion site. It will complement and enhance the existing recreational uses on the adjoining Morgan Junction Park.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:
Not applicable.

13. Historic and cultural preservation

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.
None. The previous site structures, including a dry cleaner, convenience store, and service station, have all been demolished.
- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.
None known.
- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.
Researched parcel through public databases of;
 - **City of Seattle – Seattle Municipal Archive**
 - **City of Seattle Cultural & Historical Database (data.seattle.gov)**
 - **City of Seattle GIS Data**
- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.
None proposed.

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.
The site is located along a major arterial, California Ave SW. A major, signal-controlled intersection, California Ave SW and Fauntleroy Way SW, is two blocks south of the site. SW Graham St, another arterial, is one-half block north of the site. See Exhibit A.

- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?
King County Metro Rapid Ride Line C serves the site from two blocks to the south at California Ave SW and Fauntleroy Way. King County Metro Bus Route 21 also serves the site several blocks to the east at 35th Ave SW and SW Morgan St.
- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?
There is currently on-street parking along California Ave SW and nearby streets. There is no parking that would be added or eliminated by the proposal.
- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).
The streetscape along California Ave. SW will be updated, and there will be addition of curb, gutter, sidewalk, planting, and street trees along the currently unimproved frontage of SW Eddy St. Bike racks will be added.
- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.
This project does not occur near water, rail, or air transportation.
- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and no passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?
Only a very small number of additional vehicular trips per day is anticipated to be generated by the proposal. The proposal will expand an existing neighborhood park, and the expanded park will still be a neighborhood park, rather than a destination park. There is on-street parking and a road network to accommodate those individuals who do drive to and from the park.
- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.
No - there is no nearby movement of agricultural and forest products.
- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:
No proposed measures. The effect of any added traffic on peak period street and intersection operating quality is expected to be minimal.

15. Public Services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.
These services are currently provided, and the additional need is predicted to be minimal.
- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.
None proposed.

