



## OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY

### Closed Case Summary

Complaint Number OPA#2015-1369

Issued Date: 02/12/2016

Named Employee #1	
Allegation #1	<u>Seattle Police Department Manual</u> 5.001 (2) Employees Must Adhere to Laws, City Policy and Department Policy (Policy that was issued 04/01/2015)
OPA Finding	<b>Not Sustained</b> (Unfounded)
Final Discipline	N/A

#### **INCIDENT SYNOPSIS**

The named employee was working in a two-officer car. The officers observed the complainant crossing a street and knew that he had an outstanding warrant. The officers arrested the complainant for the warrant. When they arrived at the jail, the complainant alleged that he swallowed drugs. The officers transported him to a hospital where they determined that he did not have any drugs in his system. The officers transported the complainant back to jail.

#### **COMPLAINT**

The complainant alleged to the jail staff that the named employee sexually assaulted him.

#### **INVESTIGATION**

The OPA investigation included the following actions:

1. Review of the complaint memo
2. Review of In-Car Video (ICV)
3. Search for and review of all relevant records and other evidence
4. Interview of SPD employees

## **ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION**

The investigation did not identify any evidence to support the allegation made in this case. OPA reviewed the In-Car Video (ICV) and it does not support the allegation. No sexual assault occurred when and where the complainant stated that it occurred. After the complaint was made to the jail staff, the complainant has not made any contact with OPA despite OPA's attempt to contact him.

## **FINDINGS**

### **Named Employee #1**

#### Allegation #1

There was no evidence to support the allegation made against the named employee. Therefore a finding of **Not Sustained** (Unfounded) was issued for *Employees Must Adhere to Laws, City Policy and Department Policy*.

*NOTE: The Seattle Police Department Manual policies cited for the allegation(s) made for this OPA Investigation are policies that were in effect during the time of the incident. The issued date of the policy is listed.*